

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,067	12/09/2003	Louis B. Rosenberg	IMMR-0023C	2941	
60140 7	590 06/02/2006	EXAMINER			
	V - THELEN REID & PR	WU, XIA	WU, XIAO MIN		
THELEN REID & PRIEST L.L.P P.O. BOX 640640 SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	2629	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/730,06	7	ROSENBERG ET AL.			
		Examiner	·	Art Unit			
		XIAO M. V	/υ	2629			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even in the control of the control o	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is nowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 2-34 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 2-12 and 24-31 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-15,21,22 and 32-34 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) 16-20 and 23 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and pers The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	drawn from concted. ad/or election reniner.	equirement. É	· xaminer			
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) D Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/11/2006</u> .		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garnjost et al. (US Patent No. 5,456,341).

As to claim 32, Garnjost discloses a device (Fig. 1), comprising: a manipulandum ((23); a sensor (col. 6, lines 4-5) configured to detect a position of the manipulandum and output a position signal, the position signal being based on the position of the manipulandum; and an actuator (26) configured to receive an impulse-shaped force signal ((Fig. 5), the actuator configured to applying a force to the manipulandum in accordance with the impulse-shaped force signal such that a force having an impulse value at a time, followed by a steady-state magnitude value different from the impulse magnitude value at a time different from the time associated with the impulse magnitude value, is applied to the manipulandum (see Fig. 5 and col. 11, lines 38-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,067 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 13-15, 21-22, 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garnjost et al. (US Patent No. 5,456,341).

As to claim 13-15, 22, and 33, noted the discussion of claim 32 above. it is noted that Garnjost further discloses a local processor (41) for controlling the actuator. It is noted that Garnjost does not specifically disclose host computer which is communicated with the local processor. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have realized that the a host computer is necessary for providing a program and structure control to the local processor.

As to claim 21, it is noted that Garnjost does not specifically disclose a local memory for storing a digital representation of the impulse-shaped force. However, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have realized that a memory is needed for any digital data processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included an local memory in Garnjost so as to process the impulses into a digital type waveform.

Page 4

As to claim 34, it is noted that Garnjost discloses that the stead-state magnitude value is higher than the impulse magnitude value or step-increasing the force magnitude. However, on the other hand, it would have been obvious to have a step-decreasing force magnitude when the force feedback signal is decreased.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-12, 24-31 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 16-20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-15, 21-22, 26, 32-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571-272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD HJERPE, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,067 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

x.w.

May 29, 2006

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2629

N. Wu